In Preservation

App F IX 4

Previous References (file)

File No 5-29

Serial Nos. 47, 56, 60, 63, 64, 65

Later References
PH Dept 1/39

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos Secy

Part B for January 31

SUBJECT

Vaccination work in Immunable

(Nil 65) To, The Secretary
Bd of Allies Dts
Presidency of Ft Bds
Comptroller
Dr of Health
No L 5-803 - 5-820 dt 20-1-31

Rules that it is objectionable to pay the fee to the Tamil Vaccinator + issues necessary instructions on the subject

General and Revenue Secretariat

2nd File No 5 of 1929

Serial No

47 Letter no m 3 c 2325/28-29 dy 11-3-30 from the dep com, Chimoor requesting sanction under the circumstances explained for payment of a remuneration of Rs 2/- for each case of vaccination by such of the supplies as may not employ their own vaccinators and (2) for authorising the compln to account in audit the expenditure incurred so far by the rul cls in this respect, it being a legitimate charge on the rul revenues

1) It is stated by the dep com that some of the rul cls in the district have not employed the vaccinators after own but have been getting the vaccination done by the Govt and mahad Inspmt vaccinators on payment of remuneration, that the amount expended in this behalf is not being admitted in audit from year to year by the Accot dept for want of first sanction, and that the Health Officer suggests that a uniform rate of annas 2/- for each case of vaccination done within the rul limits by the outside vaccinators may be fixed to them It is also stated that all the minor rul cls in the dist except Bhadravati and Sorab are already

Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

App F III—2].

Secretariat | File No 5 of 192 9

Serial No

to the proposal

2) The Dep can considers that in view the slender finances of the mpties and also the small extent of the area involved, it is not possible to appoint seperate vaccna for these mpties

3) The Sanry Comr may perhaps be enabled on the matter - The rate prof seems rather high

MBrr 21/3/30

(for Secy) 28/3

4) Please send Supply Bill of the Dir of Health

RG 28/3/30

Dir of Health

5) Forwarded unofficially to the Director of Health with compts for favour of the expression of his opinion on the matter

By order

for Secy

MBrr 27/3

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
Dept 1592 15-4-30
Genl
File No
Despatch No

Sheet

WP 986—GPB—30 000—26 3-29

Serial No

C896-2 C2367file
15-4-30

6) I consider, payment of any remuneration by Municipalities directly to the Vaccinators, highly objectionable It has a demoralising effect and on occasions lands the Administrations in unpleasent situations The Municipalities however, must have to pay for services rendered to them by the employees of the District Board but all payment has to be made to the District Board and not to the Vaccinators So, in place of the present system of paying annas 2 per case to the vaccinators, I would suggest the following

7)1 The District Board or Grad Improvement vaccinator in whose range the Municipality is located, will do vaccination work in the Municipality, for about 5 days in a month, the exact number depending on the population and quantity of work to be done

8)2 The District Sanitary Officer who usually sanctions the Vaccinator's monthly programme, will see that the town's work is properly provided for

9)3 All work of the vaccinator is subject to scrutiny by the Sub-Assistant Surgeon of the Local Fund Dispensary, as this officer is the convenor of the Health Committee

10)4 The Vaccinator will furnish to the Sub-Assistant Surgeon every month a nominal list of cases done by him, before the 5th of the following month

11)5 The Municipalities will pay to the District Board annual contributions according to the sliding scale noted herewith, with a minimum of Rs 25/-

Law Secretary PR 1930 4146

No 4016 15/4

Note—Contn Sheet

Serial No

per Municipality This will entitle any Municipality to a maximum of 100 vaccinations including re-vaccinations, per year For every additional 20 cases or less, Rs 5/- over the minimum

12/6 The District Board may fund all the contributions a... at its discretion award each vaccinator such bonus as it deems fit at the end of every official year, for approved work the total of this award not exceeding ordinarily annas 2 per case

13) Besides the town of Shimoga there are in the District, 11 town and Minor Municipalities The total population of these is 34,000 which will mean roughly a maximum of 1,400 primary vaccinations in a year Distributed amongst the Municipalities, the population of and the contribution payable by each Municipality will be as follows -

Kumsi	2128	Rs 25/-
Hosanagar	1011	" 25/-
Sagar	4987	" 50/-
Thirthahalli	3336	" 30/-
Sorab	1482	" 25/-
Shikarpur	4386	45/-
Channagiri	3096	" 30/-
Honnali	3860	" 30/-
Bhadravati	3789	" 30/-
Nyamati	3209	" 30/-
Shiralkoppa	2230	" 25/-

14) The District Board has at present 20 vaccinators In the proposals on the introduction of Compulsory Vaccination in Rural areas, submitted to Government in my office o... 602/Mis 36-29-1 dated 9th September 1929, the District Board of Shimoga has been allocated 22 vaccinators since the smaller Municipalities

Serial No

have to be considered as part of the Rural Sc ..., the District Board will have to enhance their vaccination staff b 2

15) The contributions as proposed above will of course, be exclusive of the cost of lymph, equipment etc ,

16) For any remuneration till now paid directly to vaccinators to which the auditors have rightly objected, the Government may be pleased to accord sanction In the proposals outlined above are approved by Government the Local Bodies may be advised to adopt them

RG 29/4/30

Director of Health

17) It seems that the ... may be consulted on the above final proposals and asked to furnish their opinion after Consulting the District Bds and Rural Cs concerned

18) The recommendation in the particular Case may also be sanctioned of sanctioning expenditure already incurred

4236/30th

19) Yes - SBS May 30/4

RG 5/5/30

20) Draft-Subd The general question will be taken up after the issue of orders in the present Case

RB 6/5

21) Please put up in due course

(Sd) ...

(Sd) ...

4490

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 5 of 1929

Serial No

22) Revd drafts subd for Consideration

23) Fr offr

RB 9/5 (illegible) — RB 10/5

4675/145

3rd Month Thro Ch-Leg

RB 20/5/30

RB 9/5

RB 9/5

24) I understand that vaccinators employed under the RB + under the Mached Supt scheme have been receiving remuneration at rates prescribed for vaccination work done therein municipal areas — but they do not receive the same, competent authority, that I do not see how the transaction can be passed.

Lee 31/5/30

RB 30/5/30

MB

5mb 67 411

25) An enquiry will be made Draft Subd

26) Done

RB D 2/6

RB 2/6

56 To The D C Shimoga L&9578/10

Enquiries of the payment of remuneration being paid to municipal Vaccinators is being done with the sanction of competent authority

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 006—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

Sus A RB 4/6

MB

General and Revenue Secretariat | Cal File No 5 of 1939 | App F III—2}

Serial No 60

Letter no M 3 C 2325-/28-29 dy 5-8-30 from the Collector stating that the remuneration paid to Shikarpur & Hamirdh and also reports that the remuneration was paid to the vaccinators on the strength of the resolutions of the respective Councils and that the Dy Director intimates that there does not seem to be any first order authorising the expenditure and the Municipalities have been paying this remuneration at an inducement to the vaccinators to work in the Municipalities

27) Invited attention to the II and Members minute dated 24 June 1930 supra

Asst 12/8/30

28) There were one or two other cases in which similar sanction (of 2 as for each successful vaccination work) was accorded Please look up the collections for reference

13.8.30

29) Cal file 59-30-13 is put-up herein wherein Govt have sanctioned the proposal of the Collector to pay an allowance at 2 annas in future in all successful cases of vaccination

Asst 14/8/30

P.T.O

1—C t Sheet

WD 9205—G P B—50 000—8

65C

File ... of 193 — General and Revenue Secretariat

30 The reply furnished by the Deputy Comr does not give the required information what is necessary for the disposal of the case is whether the AT Bdr Vaccinators although employed on vaccination work on the 1st areas with the approval of the AT Bdr and whether the Natural Dupt Vaccinators and so with the approval of other Superior officers and whether the AT Bdr or the Superior officers concerned approved of the temporary enumeration from the Imprest funds for the work done in the months of April, adjustment information on this point may be called for from the Deputy Comr

DS
16/8/30 31) yes, please put up draft

VS
19 8 30

32 Draft put up for approval

Rem
22 8-30 33) The draft, ...

General and Revenue Secretariat | Memo No 5-658 of 1929

Serial No

posed will issue if approved
2nd Member) VS 26 8 30

OFFICE OF THE S CON MEMBR OF C 28 AUG 1930 No. 1885 SECTT

GEN & REV SECTT 11 AUG 1930 L & R BR No.

34) esy 29/8

35) Issue VS 29 8 30

63 Re ✓ 2018 dt 5-9-30 in use, Shimoga Calls for further particulars in the matter

Genl A 15/9/30 6 AS

64 Letter No. R 3 C 2325 of 28 1931-11 30 from the Dy Comr Shimoga Dest

Requires the required explanation

36) It is stated that neither the District Board nor the Superior Officers issued orders ~~to~~ permitting the Vaccinators to do vaccination work within the rural limits and to receive remuneration therefor from rural Funds and that the prevailing practice is that, as in other districts, have not entertained the Vaccinators of their own, these vaccinators were usually permitted to do well rewarded there and the required No. of cases of vaccination work in the rural area areas also and were paid the remuneration by the Presidents of the

NOTE—Co t Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

Matters concerned

24) Submitted for orders with reference 25 Supra

Sd/ 2nd Member
&
1st Member)

12 11 30

OFFICE OF THE FINANCE 12 NOV 1930 No 4973

38) Brief short guarantee is there that amount that passed by dept. then question in the second party. Atleast the bill should be sign counter signed by the Dt Health Officer before they are sanctioned

Sd
13/11

39) I agree - I would suggest here the practice of the CS paying remuneration direct & reimburse of the Dt Bds as a lumpsum at once ~~and for all~~ (vide my note of the Dir of Health)

Sd
25/11/30

Subd to 1st Member

40) 29/11

41) 27 11 30

OFFICE OF THE FINANCE 29 NOV 1930 No 5376

Sd

Serial No

43 A draft G O is put up with a view to facilitate the smooth working of the arrangement proposed. Some of the suggestions made in the note of the Director of Health are intended in the draft

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 24 DEC 1930 No 6402

HE L 9 JAN. 1931 No 3400

Mr 22/12 1st Member 2nd Member) VS 23 12 30

OFFICE OF TH Sec ME O IL 10 JAN 1931 No. 6144

f 9/1/31 RG 9/1/31 VS

by 10/1/31

Issue VS 10/1/31

65 G O no K 5803-20-B 20-1-31 Stating that the objections to have been to the Khaseras & other necessary instructions on the subject

Parl Sec 23/1

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Rel 5 29 47

No M 3 C 2325/28-29
encl

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated Shimoga, 11th March 1930

GEN & PFV 4490

FROM

M N BALA RAJ URS ESQR B A
offg *Deputy Commissioner*
Shimoga District

RJ 3135

To

R Ranga Rao Esquire, B A , B L ,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

SIR

I have the honour to state that some of the Municipal Councils in this District have not employed the Vaccinators of their own but have been getting the Vaccination done by the District Board and Malnad Improvement Vaccinators on payment of remuneration The amount expended in this behalf is not being admitted in audit from year to year by the auditors for want of Government sanction for payment of allowances under article 49 (1) S I

In consultation with the Municipal Councils in this District, the District Health Officer suggests that a uniform rate of annas 2 for each case of vaccination done within the Municipal limits by the outside vaccinators may be paid to them From the statement received from him it is seen that all the minor Municipal Councils in this District except Bhadravati and Sorab are agreeable to this proposal

In view of the slender finances of the Councils and also the mall extent of area involved in each case, it is not possible o appoint separate Vaccinators for these Municipalities and I request herefore that orders of Government may kindly be obtained (1) or the payment of as 2/- per each case by such of the Municipalities s may not employ their own vaccinators and (2) for authorising the Comptroller to admit in audit the expenditure incurred so far by

the councils in this repsct , it being a legitimate charge on the Municipal revenues

A copy of the District Health Officers report No 1786 /29-30 dated 29-12-1929 with the statement is enclosed herewith for reference

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

G I N

Copy of letter No 1786/29-30 dated 22nd November 1929 from the District Health Officer, Shimoga District, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District

=====

With reference to office Order No M C 2325 dated 21-5-29, calling for the opinion re the fees to be paid to the Vaccinators for the cases performed by them within the Municipal limits, I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the replies received from the several Municipalities in the Shimoga District for favour of kind perusal

I beg to submit that a remuneration of annas 0-2-0 per case of Vaccinations performed in the Municipalities may kindly be sanctioned to the Vaccinators for the cases performed by them

I solicit the favour of kind perusal and orders

==========

" COPY "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

G P N

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section ME
File No 5-29
Serial No 56
No L8954

iary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193*

To
The D C Shimoga

Date of issue 10/6/30

Signed by M M K S Iyer

Sir,

With refee to your letter no M3 C 2325/28-29 dy 11/3/30 I am directed to state that it is observed that Vaccinators employed by the DBs and under the Malnad Improvement Scheme have been receiving remuneration from ME Funds for vaccination work done by them in ME areas and to enquire if this being done with the sanction of Competent authority?

I have the

AD 2/11 for Gen Secy ME

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02327—GPB—5 000—16 4 30

ML 5-29-60

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

No .3 C.2325/28-29 .nc One

Dated Shimoga 5th August *19* 30

From

G Rudrappa, Esq , M A (Oxon) Bar-at-Law
M.N.C.Bala.Rao.Urs.Esqr.B.A.
Offg *Deputy Commissioner*
Shimoga District

To

R Ranga Rao Esq B A B L ,
General Secretary to Government
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No L 8951/III 5-29-30 dated 10th June 1930 enquiring if the vaccinators employed by the District Board and under Kalnad Improvement Scheme have been receiving remuneration from Municipal Councils for Vaccination work done by them in the Municipal areas with the sanction of competent authority I have the honour to state that the Presidents of the Shikarpur and Honnali Municipal Council report that the remuneration was paid to the above Vaccinators on the strength of the resolutions of the respective Councils The District Health Officer intimates that there does not seem to be any Government Order authorising this expenditure and the Municipalities have been paying this remuneration as an inducement to the Vaccinators to work in the Municipalities

2 A copy of letter No 280/30-31 dated 26th July 1930 received from the District Health Officer is enclosed for reference

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
G Rudrappa
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Letter No 280/30-31 dated 26th July 1930 from the District Health Officer Shimoga District, to the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

****

With reference to your Office Memo No I & C 2325 dated 12th July 1930 asking to intimate if there is any Government Order about the payment of ~~xxxxxx~~ annas to ~~per~~ case done by the Vaccinators in the Municipal limits I have the honour to submit that the undersigned is not aware of any Government Order directing the said payment

It may be the Municipalities are paying the amount as an inducement for Vaccinators to Vaccinate in their Municipalities when the Vaccinators happen to remain in or visit their areas

---

Copy'

G. Ruthnaswamy

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section ~~H.S~~ mal

File No ~~15~~ 29

Serial No 63

No L2018

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 5.9.30

Signed by ~~Sd~~ V S Sastry

List of enclosures ..

Copied by 2/9/30

Examined by ..

To

The Dep Secy,
Chittoor dt—

~~Dear~~ Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no Mis C 2725/28-29 dt 5-8-30 re payment of remuneration to the vaccinators employed by the VSSA and under malnad Improvement Scheme—by the rule clo for vaccination work done by them in the rule areas, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information under following items

[over

WD 02550—GPB—25 000—25 6-30

1) Where the ASHA vaccinators attended to vaccination work in the rural areas with the approval of the ASHAs and where the salaried Government vaccinators did so with the approval of their superior officers.

2) Where the ASHA or the superior officers so concerned approved of the receipt of remuneration from the rural funds for the work done in the municipalities

Name etc

VS/26830

Fr Gen Secy to Govt

Home
22-8-80

Ml 5-29-64 L 2311.

6 XI 30

No M3 C 2325/28-29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District
Dated Shimoga, the 1st November 1930

From

G Rudrappa Esq, M A (Oxon) Bar-at-Law
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

R Ranga Rao Esq B A B L,
General Secretary to Government
BANGALORE

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No L, 2018/M1 5-29-63 dated 5-9-30 calling for certain information in re the payment of remuneration to the District Board and Malnad Improvement vaccinators by the Municipal Councils for vaccination work done by them within the Municipal limits I have the honor to state that it is learnt from the District Health Officer Shimoga, that neither the District Board nor the Superior Officers issued orders permitting the vaccinators to do vaccination work within the Municipal limits and to receive remuneration therefor out of the municipal funds and that the prevailing practice is that as many of the Municipalities have not entertained the Vaccinators of their own these vaccinators were usually permitted to attend to this work provided they did the required number of cases of vaccination in the rural areas also and were paid the remuneration by the Presidents of the Municipalities concerned A copy of memo No 834 dated of 30-31 dated 27-9-30 addressed to the President District Board Shimoga, by the District Health officer Shimoga is enclosed for reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Copy of memo No 834/30-31 dated 27th September 1930 from the District Health Officer Shimoga to the President District Board Shimoga

****

Replied with compliments to the President District Board Shimoga, No sanction was accorded to both the vaccinators for performing vaccinations in the Municipal areas As many of the Municipalities except that of Shimoga are not maintaining vaccinators of their own the vaccinators were usually allowed to do vaccinations in the Municipal areas provided that they do the required number of cases fixed to them in the rurual areas and hence this was the system prevailing from the beginning

As regards the approval of the remuneration given to vaccinators there is no orders of approval and the Presidents of several Municipalities are usually paying the remuneration A statement showing the details of the remuneration paid to the vaccinators by the several Municipalities in the district is herein enclosed for favour of information.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

O.M 52

Proceedings of the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

ml 5- 29-65

Read -

Letter No M 3 C 2225/28-29 dated the 11th March 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District regarding the remuneration paid and payable to certain District Board and Malnad Improvement Vaccinators for Vaccination work done by them in the several Municipalities in the District but since held under objection in audit

Dy 20/3/31
S Sheshagiri V S Sastri

ORDER NO L 5802-5820
Dated Bangalore the 20-1-31 ~~December 1930~~

The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District reports that owing to their slender resources several Municipal Councils have not employed separate vaccinators of their own but have been getting Vaccination work done by the District Board and Malnad Improvement Vaccinators on payment of remuneration and that the Comptroller has been objecting to this expenditure for want of Government sanction He therefore requests (1) that the Comptroller be authorised to admit in audit the expenditure so far incurred by the Municipal Councils for this purpose and (2) that a general order be passed for the payment of 2 annas per case by such of the Municipal Councils as are unable to have their own Vaccinators.

Government consider that payment of remuneration by Municipal Councils directly to the Vaccinators is highly objectionable ~~and they are~~ but the following order is pleased to direct ~~that the Municipal Councils~~ be passed -

~~2. Govt are accordingly~~ What are -

as follows -

1 The District Board or Malnad Improvement vaccinator in whose range the Municipality is located will do Vaccination work in the Municipality for about 5 days in a month the exact number of days depending on the --- population and quantity of work to be done

2 The District Sanitary Officer who usually sanctions the Vaccinator's monthly programme will see that the Town's work is properly provided for

3 All work of the vaccinator ~~is~~ makes subject to scrutiny by the Sub-Assistant Surgeon of the Local Fund Dispensary (~~as this Officer is the convener of the Health Committee~~)

4 The Vaccinator will furnish to the Sub-Assistant Surgeon every month a nominal list of cases done by him before the 5th of the following month

5 The Municipalities will pay to The Dt Board for the sergices rendered by the Vaccination employees of the Board at 2 as per case of vaccination performed by the vaccinator

6 The District Board may fund all the contributions and at its discretion award each vaccinator such bonus as it deems fit at the end of every official year for approved work the total of this award not exceeding ordinarily annas 2 per case

5. The Comptroller is requested to withdraw the objections in respect of the payments already made provided the bills are countersigned by the District Health Officer and certified to the effect that during the period for which the fee is paid the vaccinators did their quota of work in the rural

parts

23 12 30 DRAFT)

General Secretary to Goverrment

To

The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga Dt
" Deputy Commrs of Dists
" Presidents of District Boards
" Comptroller to Covt
" Director of Health

Copy to G M Br

4 10 41 31

A I R 1
22/12

15/1/31

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 5803-58°0—Ml 5 29 65 dated 20th January 1 31

Vaccination Work in Municipalities.

Directs that the Malnad Improvement or District Board Vaccinator will do —— which have no separate Vaccinator and that the Municipality will pay to the District Board at annas two per case etc

READ—

Letter No M 3 C. 23°5— 8 29 dated the 11th March 1930 from the D puty Commi i ner Shimoga Di tri t regarding the remuneration paid and payable to c rtain Di trict boa rd and M lnad Improv ment Va cinators for Vaccination work done by them in tl e several Muni ipali t s in the Di tr ct but s nce held under obje tion in audit

ORDER No L 5803 58°0—Ml 5 29 65 DATED 20TH JANUARY 1931

The Deputy Commi sioner Shimoga District reports that owing to their slender resources several Muni ipal Councils have not employed separate Vaccinators of their own but have been getting Vaccination work done by the District Board and Malnad Improvement Vaccinat rs on payment of remuneration and that the Comptro ler has been objecting to this expenditure for want of Government sanction He there ore requests (1) that the C mptroller be authorised to admit in audit the expend ture so far incurred by the Municipal Councils for thi purpose and (2) that a general order be passed for the paym nt of two annas per case by such of the Municipal Councils as are unable to have their own Vaccinators

Government con ider that payment of remuneration by Municipal Councils directly to the Vaccinator is highly objectionable and they are pleased to direct that the following procedure be adopted —

1 The Di trict Board or Malnad Improvement Vaccinator in whose range th Muni i l ity is locat d will do Vacci ation work in the Mu ici l ity for about five days in a n onth the exact number of days d pending on the popu lation and quantity of wo k to be done

2 Tl e Di trict Sanitary Officer who u ually sanct ons the Vaccinator s monthly progra nme will see that the Town work is p ope ly provi led for

3 All work of tl e Vacc nator will be su j ct to scrutiny by tl e Sub Assi tant Sur on of the Loc l Fund Di pensary

4 The Vaccinator w ll furni h to tl e Sub Assi tant Surgeon e ery mo th a nominal li t of cases done y him be e tl e 5th of the following mo th

5 Tl e Municip ity will pay to the Distri t Board f r tho servi es ren ler l by tl e Vacc na tion emp loyees of the Board at two a nas per case of vaccination perfor i d by tl e Vaccinator

6 The Di tri t Board n ay fund all tl contributions and at its d scr tion aw rd ea h Vacci nat r such bonus as it d ems fit at the en l of ev ry off ial year for ap rov d work the total of this award not exceed ng ordinarily annas two per case.

The Comptroller is requested to withdraw the objections in respect of the pay ments already made provided the bills are countersigned by the District Health Officer and certified to the effect that during the peri d for which the fee is paid the Vaccinators did their quota of work in the rural parts

R RANGA RAO
General Secretary to Government

To—Tl e D p uty Co m i ner Sl i ga D ui t
Tl e D puty Commi ion rs of D tricts.
Tl I resid n ts of D tri t Bo r ls
Tl e C mptr l r to the Gover n nt of Mysore
Thc Dir c or of H ld i Mysore

EXD—P S R N

W D 1 13- C I R—6-0 g 1 1